TO: EXECUTIVE DATE: 23 JUNE 2015

BINFIELD LEARNING VILLAGE – APPOINTMENT OF SCHOOL SPONSOR (Director, Children, Young People and Learning)

1 PURPOSE OF DECISION

1.1 To agree the process for appointing a sponsor for the proposed all-through Binfield Learning Village as an Academy school.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 To agree the School Sponsor Appointment Plan to identify a preferred sponsor for the Binfield Learning Village Academy School, as attached at Appendix 1.
- 2.2 To agree to notify the DfE of the Council's intention to seek an Academy sponsor
- 2.3 To agree the appointment application evaluation criteria, as attached at Appendix 3.

3 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 Local authorities (LA) have a duty to provide sufficient school places to meet needs in their area. The Council has identified significant areas of new housing that are scheduled to be built in the period to 2025. The provision of a new primary, secondary and special all-through school at Binfield Learning Village is an important part of the overall future provision in the Borough.
- 3.2 The Education Act 2011 changed the arrangements for establishing new schools and introduced Section 6A (the academy/free school presumption) to the Education and Inspections Act 2006. Where a LA thinks there is a need for a new school in its area it must seek proposals to establish an academy school.
- 3.3 Given the pressures on school places and the design and construction period, the timely appointment of a provider is necessary to start the process at the current time.
- 3.4 In June 2013, the Executive agreed:
 - a) the methodology to be used to endorse potential providers, thereby ensuring that any providers will be equipped to deliver good and outstanding provision.
 - b) that a standing Education Review Group be established with an independent Chair, the Executive Member for Children, Young People and Learning, the Chair of the Children, Young People & Learning Overview and Scrutiny Panel, one other Councillor representative from the opposition party, the Director of Children, Young People & Learning or their representative, two school representatives (a headteacher and Chair of Governors) and a parent governor.
 - c) the criteria which potential providers should meet if they wish to be commissioned to run a school in the borough.

3.5 The scope, timetable, funding model and the procurement plan for the Binfield Learning Village was approved by the Executive in October 2014. Mace has been appointed as the design and build contractor under the IESE framework.

4 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 4.1 The option of expanding an existing school was considered but this is not viable as the resulting school would be too big to run effectively.
- 4.2 The Education and Inspections Act 2006 gives statutory force to the presumption that all new schools will be academies. The Council will follow the requirements of the Act and statutory guidance issued by the Secretary of State so that a suitable provider is appointed including so far as may be possible consideration of existing schools.

5 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 5.1 The Executive have agreed (June 2013) the procedures for assessing applications for the establishment of a new school. A methodology was agreed to endorse potential providers, thereby ensuring that any providers will be equipped to deliver good and outstanding provision. The procedures included setting up an Education Review Group In order to ensure that the Council can assess all proposals received and submit comments to the Secretary of State.
- 5.2 The Binfield Learning Village programme is a priority for Bracknell Forest Council. The programme will deliver statutory school places required in the Borough alongside meeting the need for new housing and the associated community facilities.
- 5.3 The Council is aiming to deliver a 7FE secondary school with post-16 provision, a 2FE primary school with a 52 place nursery, provision for children with special educational needs (SEN) and community provision from September 2017 to support the planned growth in the Borough.
- The process to be followed is prescribed by the DfE and the DfE make the final decision of sponsor taking into account the Council's recommendation. The DfE will ultimately contract with the sponsor for the education provision at Binfield Learning Village. The Council is the DfE's agent in the process.
- 5.5 The DfE have indicated that there are insufficient suitable sponsors so they are looking to LAs to develop interest and suitable sponsors. Therefore, to enable the DfE to make a decision, the Council will develop the interest of possible suitable Academy providers, publish the specification and seek expressions of interest from potential providers, assess and score their proposals, undertake due diligence and make a recommendation to the Secretary of State who will make the final decision.
- 5.6 The School Sponsor Appointment Plan is attached in Appendix 1.
- 5.7 The timeline is attached in Appendix 2. The current timetable has limited contingency. The programme also has several external dependencies, which could negatively impact the programme delivery.
- 5.8 Evaluation of applications will be undertaken against a set of criteria under the broad headings, as agreed by the Executive in 2013:
 - Meeting demand for provision

- The proposed ethos of the school
- · Ability to achieve high standards of education
- A clear commitment and strategy for ensuring inclusion of all
- The organisation's track record in delivering education in the relevant phase
- The organisation's capacity to deliver a new school

It was agreed that the above criteria would receive equal weighting.

- 5.9 The recommended detailed criteria against which scoring will be completed are attached in Appendix 3. The main headings of the criteria remain the same as agreed by the Executive in June 2013. Further sub-criteria have been added and as a result the weightings have been revised. As previously agreed, the criteria will be scored on a three point scale: 'fully met', 'partially met' and 'not met'.
- 5.10 In addition to the criteria, other commitments may also be sought e.g. land deal requirements.

6 CONSULTATION

Principal Groups Consulted

6.1 The DfE statutory process will be followed. The DfE expect local views to be reflected in the specification. A consultation plan will be developed to inform this requirement.

Method of Consultation

6.2 Summary reports and discussions.

Representations Received

6.3 Comments will be included in the appointment specification.

7 ADVICE RECEIVED FROM STATUTORY AND OTHER OFFICERS

Borough Solicitor

7.1 The Borough Solicitor has commented on a number of occasions on the relevant statutory requirements, and his advice and comments are now reflected in this report and the appointment plan referred to.

Borough Treasurer

7.2 The Borough Treasurer is satisfied that no significant financial implications arise from agreeing the Appointment Plan. A strategy for funding the construction and fit out of the school has previously been approved with on-going revenue funding falling on the Dedicated Schools Grant, which is outside the council's funding responsibilities and will be determined by the funding policy agreed by the Schools Forum.

Equalities Impact Assessment

7.3 Attached in Annex B of the School Sponsor Appointment Plan.

Strategic Risk Management Issues

7.4 The main risks identified are:

Table 1: Strategic Risk Management Issues

| | ISSUE | RISK | COMMENT |
|---|----------------|---|---|
| 1 | Cost Risk | Gap in revenue funding in the initial years following the school's opening. | Scenario modelling can raise awareness and minimise risk |
| 2 | Cost Risk | Extra costs could emerge since we are dependent upon the DfE. | Need to maintain effective liaison with DfE |
| 3 | Programme Risk | Not meeting timescales will jeopardise implementation. | Need to continually pay strict attention to timescales and maintain effective liaison with DfE |
| 4 | Sponsor Risk | DfE not appointing the sponsor recommended by the Council. | Need effective liaison with DfE and provide robust recommendations to the DfE |
| 5 | Sponsor Risk | Successfully delivering the school if the sponsor does not engage with the Council. | Need to establish good relationships and effective communications with the sponsor appointed. |

A comprehensive list of risks is shown in Annex A of the Sponsor Appointment Plan.

Background Papers

- a. Papers on Binfield Learning Village:
 - Business Case
 - Programme Plan
 - Programme Status Reports
 - Risk & Issue Register
 - Project Initiation Document
 - Procurement Plan
 - Communications Plan
 - Terms of Reference, Community Reference Group
 - Draft plans
 - Executive Report (21 October 2014 and 10 February 2015)
- b. Executive report, 11 June 2013, 'Procedures for assessing applications for the establishment of a new school in Bracknell Forest'

Contacts

| David Watkins | Chief Officer Strategy, Resources & Early Intervention |
|------------------------------|--|
| 01344 354061 | david.watkins@bracknell-forest.gov.uk |
| Graham Symonds | School Sufficiency and Commissioning Manager |
| 01344 354067 | graham.symonds@bracknell-forest.gov.uk |
| Rajesh Sinha 01344 354090 | Programme Manager: Binfield Learning Village at Blue Mountain rajesh.sinha@bracknell-forest.gov.uk |
| Calvin Orr 01344 352125 | Chief Technical Accountant, Corporate Services calvin.orr@bracknell-forest.gov.uk |

Simon Heard Assistant Borough Solicitor 01344 353107 simon.heard@bracknell-forest.gov.uk

Appendix 1:

School Sponsor Appointment Plan

Document Status: Draft Revision: 7

Date: May 2015

Prepared By: Graham Symonds

Circulation List:

| | Project Team/ Manag | gement: |
|--------------|---------------------|---|
| | David Watkins | Chief Officer: Strategy, Resources and Early Intervention |
| | Graham Symonds | School Sufficiency and Commissioning Manager |
| | Rajesh Sinha | Programme Manager, Binfield Learning Village at Blue Mountain |
| For comments | Finance / Procureme | nt / Legal |
| | Geoff Reynolds | Head of Procurement |
| | Simon Heard | Borough Solicitor |
| | Paul Clark | Group Accountant CYPL |
| | Calvin Orr | Chief Technical Accountant |
| For comments | Other | |
| | Janette Karklins | Director of Children, Young People and Learning |
| | Christine McInnes | Chief Officer: Learning & Achievement |
| | Chris Salt | School Adviser |
| | Amanda Wilton | Head of Targeted Services |
| | For Approval: | |
| | CMT | Programme Board |

Sign Off: Executive

| Name | Signature | Date |
|-----------|-----------|------|
| Executive | | |

Table of Contents

- 1. Background
- 2. Terms of Reference
- 3. Value for Money
- 4. Timescales
- 5. Financial Breakdown
- 6. Appointment method
- 7. Risk Management
- 8. Sustainability
- 9. Equality
- 10. Contract Award
- 11. Project Organisation
- 12. Advice Received from Other Officers
- 13. Change Control

Annexes

- 1. Risk Log
- 2. Equalities Impact Assessment

Page 4 of 22 Author: Graham Symonds

1. BACKGROUND

The Binfield Learning Village programme is a priority for Bracknell Forest Council. The programme will deliver statutory school places required in the Borough alongside meeting the need for new housing and the associated community facilities.

The Council is aiming to deliver a 7FE secondary school with post-16 provision, a dedicated Special Educational Needs Resource Unit, a 2FE primary school with a nursery and community provision from September 2017 to support the planned growth in the Borough.

The Education Act 2011 changed the arrangements for establishing new schools and introduced Section 6A (the academy/free school presumption) to the Education and Inspections Act 2006. Where a local authority (LA) thinks there is a need for a new school in its area it must seek proposals to establish an academy school.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

2.1. Reason for Requirement

LAs have a duty to provide sufficient school places to meet needs in their area.

The Council has identified significant areas of new housing that are scheduled to be built in the period to 2025. These bring the implication of a need for further school places and the Council continues to work with developers to have these places provided through the development process.

The provision of a new primary, secondary and special all-through school at Binfield Learning Village is an important part of the overall future provision in the Borough

2.2. Objectives

To identify a preferred sponsor of the Academy School for the proposed Binfield Learning Village.

2.3. Project Scope

To seek an Academy sponsor based on promoting the opportunity to potential providers, making an assessment of applications, undertaking due diligence and making a recommendation to the DfE.

2.4. Project Constraints/Assumptions

- The DfE provide the basis of the process that must be followed and will make the final decision on the appointment of the provider.
- On receiving details of interested providers and the Council's recommendation, the time taken by the DfE to make a decision is out of the Council's control.
- The DfE reserves the right to appoint a sponsor of their own choice.
- On appointment, the provider will then work with the Council and DfE to open the school as per the agreed timetable.
- Due to the complexity in procuring the site and adhering to a hybrid planning approach, the
 timescales for appointment of a school provider will not align to the planning application
 process for the new school buildings. It means that the school provider will not have an
 opportunity to influence the design and build process.

Page 5 of 22 Author: Graham Symonds

- Providers will express an interest to operate and manage the school. If no provider is appointed there are subsequent stages to the DfE process.
- It should be noted that the period when providers will be sought includes the 6 week school summer holiday period. Additional time has been added to the process after the school holiday period.

2.5. Dependencies

2.5.1 Resources

The project team will consist of the following individuals who will be available throughout the process.

- Janette Karklins Director of Children, Young People and Learning
- David Watkins: Chief Officer, Strategy, Resources and Early Intervention
- Rajesh Sinha Programme Manager, Binfield Learning Village at Blue Mountain
- Graham Symonds School Sufficiency & Commissioning Manager
- Chris Taylor Head of Education Capital and Property
- Chris Salt School Adviser
- Amanda Wilton Head of Targeted Services (including SEN)

2.5.2 Funding

Capital: The Council is responsible for providing the site and meeting all associated capital costs. The Executive has approved (October 2014) a funding strategy for the construction of the new school.

Revenue: Pre-opening start-up costs and post-opening funding required to address diseconomies of scale until the school reaches full capacity will need to be met through the DfE Dedicated Schools Grant that is allocated to fund schools. Scenario modelling will be carried out in 2015 to identify challenges and likely costs. Funds will be allocated in accordance with policies approved by the Schools Forum.

3. VALUE FOR MONEY

There is a requirement to appoint a sponsor (via DfE) that will best meet the outcomes and expectations required by the Council. Prospective providers will be required to demonstrate how they will achieve the quality and service expectations of the Council.

4. TIMESCALES

4.1 Indicative project plan

The following timetable is proposed for the application process and implementation of the Binfield Learning Village:

| 1 | Draft documents for the Executive | May 2015 |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2 | Notify DfE of intentions | By 30 June 2015 |

Page 6 of 22 Author: Graham Symonds

| 3 | Develop the interest of possible, suitable providers | By end of July 2015 |
|----|--|--|
| 4 | Confirm Education Review Group and first meeting held | By end of June 2015 |
| 5 | Seek Academy provider | 3 August to 30 October 2015 |
| 6 | Liaison with DfE, scoring of proposals, undertake due diligence on providers, meetings of Education Review Group, and seek approvals on the recommendation by Executive. | 2 November to 31 December 2015 |
| 7 | Send DfE the assessment of proposals and await decision by DfE | 15 January 2016 to Mid/End March 2016 (approx. 8 weeks) |
| 8 | Scenario funding for school operational funding | June to September 2015 |
| 9 | Planning permission by | February/March 2016 |
| 10 | Sponsor appointed by DfE | March 2016 |
| 11 | Sponsor consults on funding agreement | 2016/17 |
| 12 | Build and implementation | Build starts: approx. Mar/April 2016 School opens: Sept 2017 |

4.2 Forecast Sponsor Start Date

March 2016 (Timeframe dependent on DfE) for appointment of the sponsor.

The Funding Agreement is expected to be agreed between the sponsor and DfE, following consultation, before the school opens.

4.3 Contract Term

A permanent appointment will be made by the DfE.

5. FINANCIAL BREAKDOWN

5.1. Forecast cost breakdown

Actual costs of running the school will be dependent on decisions taken by the new Academy School.

In terms of funds to be received, these will mainly be determined by the Bracknell Forest Funding Formula for Schools, the mechanism used to fund all Bracknell Forest schools. It is approved by the Schools Forum and Executive Member for Children, Young People and Learning and also used to calculate core funding for Academy schools.

Page 7 of 22 Author: Graham Symonds

6. APPOINTMENT METHOD

6.1. Process and methods

An Education Review Group will be convened to understand the performance record of any potential sponsor, to scrutinise their track record and approach and most importantly to be confident that the sponsoring organisation will be able to deliver the good and outstanding schools the Council expects. The Review Group would agree responses to the Secretary of State in relation to questions raised in relation to the suitability of potential sponsors.

The Education Review Group would comprise: an independent Chair, the Executive Member for Children, Young People and Learning, the Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Panel for CYP&L, one other Councillor representative from the opposition party, the Director: CYP&L or their representative, two school representatives (a headteacher and Chair of Governors) and a parent governor. The Review Group would meet as and when required with sufficient notice for all Review Group members to attend. Meetings would be convened by the Director: CYP&L.

Specialist advice and support would be sought from officers from across the Council.

A specification will be prepared and promoted to Academy Sponsors on the DfE list and in a targeted way. The process will run from 3 August 2015. Interested providers will be asked to complete a response

6.2. Evaluation

Applications will be evaluated against criteria listed in Appendix 3.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1. Risks and Mitigation Options

The risk log is attached as Annex A.

7.2. Contingency Plans – Business Continuity

In the event that the application exercise is not successful, a statutory competition can be held with the Secretary of States' consent. Academy, free school, foundation, voluntary controlled and voluntary aided proposals can be submitted in the competition. If an academy or free school proposal is entered, and deemed suitable, the competition ends and the proposer works with the DfE to progress the proposal. Otherwise the competition continues and the local authority can determine which maintained school proposal wins.

If a competition is needed, the school design and build process will continue throughout the process.

8. EQUALITY

8.1. Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

An EIA is separately attached as Annex B.

8.2. Equalities Monitoring

The provider will be expected to monitor equalities aspects of their provision and to contribute to local partnership outcomes.

Page 8 of 22 Author: Graham Symonds

9. CONTRACT AWARD

The Secretary of State at the DfE will appoint the provider. The Council has to inform unsuccessful applicants.

10. PROJECT ORGANISATION

10.1. Project Sponsors

Chief Executive / Director of Children, Young People and Learning

10.2. Project Director

David Watkins - Chief Officer: Strategy, Resources and Early Intervention

10.3. Project Manager

Rajesh Sinha – Programme Manager

10.4. Project Team and workstream leads

Graham Symonds - School Sufficiency and Commissioning Manager

Chris Taylor - Head Of Education Capital and Property

Chris Salt - School Adviser

Mandy Wilton - Head of Targeted Services

10.5. Contract Manager

There is no ongoing contractual relationship between the provider and Council.

11. ADVICE RECEIVED FROM OTHER OFFICERS

11.1. Finance Comments Provided By: Calvin Orr and Paul Clark

Included within the body of the report.

11.2. Procurement Comments Provided By: Geoff Reynolds

Legal advice is clear that this is not a procurement regulated by Public Contract Regulations. However, the approach defined is consistent with good procurement practice.

11.3. Legal Comments Provided By: Simon Heard

The Borough Solicitor's advice and earlier comments are reflected in the drafting of this plan

12. CHANGE CONTROL

If any major changes are required to this document it will be re-issued as a revision for approval. No additional work will be undertaken without approval by the CMT Programme Board.

Page 9 of 22 Author: Graham Symonds

Annex A RISK LOG: APPOINTMENT OF SCHOOL SPONSOR Brackne Forest Council

| ID No | Raised by | Date Raised | Description | Risk type | Potential scale of impact | Potential likelihood | Unadjusted Impact | Confidence in data or assumption | Preventative / Mitigating actions | Owned by | Status |
|----------|--------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|--|----------|--------|
| 1 | GS | | Not meeting project plan timescales would jeopardise the implementation of the new school. | Timing / Implementation | High | Medium | Medium | | Strict attention will be given to project plan timescales. Strong liaison with DfE so that they are aware of our timings and the need for them to decide on a provider within the required timescales. | GS/RS | Open |
| 2 | GS | | If a sponsor is not appointed by the end of November 2015, impact on opening time in Sept 2017 | Timing / Implementation | Medium | High | Medium | | Make DfE aware of requirements and discuss any mitgating possibilities with them. These could include: * The Council setting admission arrangements on behalf of a future provider and consulting pending their appointment * Seeking an exception to consult later than the stautory timescale. | GS | Open |
| 3 | GS | | Changes in Government policy post- election regarding academies and/or free schools may lead to delays. | Timing | High | Low | Medium | Medium | Continue to liaise with DfE and take their advice. | GS/RS | Open |
| 4 | PC | Apr-15 | DfE may not appoint the sponsor we recommend. | Implementation | High | Low | Medium | 3 | Continue to liaise with DfE and take their advice. | GS/RS | Open |
| 5 | PC | | If the sponsor does not properly engage with the Council then implication for opening in Sept 2017. | Implementation | Medium | Medium | Medium | | Establish good relationship with appointed sponsor. Council meeting its obligations promptly Effective communication of background and Council's aspirations. | GS/RS | Open |

| 6 | PC | Possible gap in revenue funding in the initial years of the school opening if working assumptions are inaccurate | Cost | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | Scenario modelling of alternative ways in which the school might grow in size following opening | PC | Open |
|---|----|--|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|-------|------|
| 7 | PC | Extra costs could emerge as the Council is dependent on the DfE. | Cost | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | Continue to liaise with DfE and take their advice. | PC | Open |
| 8 | GS | A suitable provider is not identified and/or appointed. | Timing / Implementation | High | Medium | Medium | Medium | Have discussions with possible providers about academy opportunity. Second stage procedures can start, but delay in process. | GS/RS | Open |

Annex B: Equalities Screening Record Form

| Date of Screening: 3 October 2014 | Dire | ctora | te: CYPL | 5 | Section | : School Suf | ficiency and (| Comm | issioning |
|--|--|---------|--|-----|--------------|---|--|------------|--|
| 1. Activity to be assessed | | | | onc | to pre | ssure on schoo | ol places from | new ho | ouse building and |
| 2. What is the activity? | □F | Policy/ | strategy Function/procedure Y Project | | Revi | ew Y Service | e 🗌 Organis | ational | change |
| 3. Is it a new or existing activity? | Y 1 | New | Existing | | | | | | |
| 4. Officer responsible for the screening | To select and appoint an Academy provider to run the all-through school including SEN provision at the Binfield Learning Village (BLV). Treet? Nursery and primary aged children in the Binfield area Secondary aged children in North Bracknell Parents, adults, residents and business across Bracknell. SEN?? Please tick Is there an impact? What evidence do you have to support this? What evidence do you have to support this? Historically 2.8% of children have special educational need and need some form of specialist provision in a mainstrea or special school. Organisations currently providing special education would be welcome to put their names forward, but on the understanding that the requirement is for mainstream education with integrated SEN. | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Who are the members of the screening team? | Rajesh Sinha To select and appoint an Academy provider to run the all-through school including SEN provision at the Binfield Learning Village (BLV). Nursery and primary aged children in the Binfield area Secondary aged children in North Bracknell Parents, adults, residents and business across Bracknell. | | | | | | | | |
| 6. What is the purpose of the activity? | | | • | | | | | | |
| 7. Who is the activity designed to benefit/target? | Secondary aged children in North Bracknell Parents, adults, residents and business across Bracknell. | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Characteristics | | | Is there an impact? | ٧ | /hat ev | ridence do you | u have to sup | port th | nis? |
| 8. Disability Equality | Y | N | The principle need is to provide additional | а | nd nee | d some form of | | | |
| | | | education would be welcome to put their names forward, but on the understanding | | Year | Bracknell Forest attending Bracknell Forest schools and | elsewhere attending Bracknell Forest schools and | Totals | Bracknell Forest attending schools |
| | | | | | 2010 | 452 | 57 | 509 | 166 |
| | education with integrated SEN. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2012 | 484 | 52 | 536 | 192 |
| | | | Type of school | | 2013 2014 | 491 474 | 54 52 | 545 526 | 199 201 |
| | | | The Council's policy is to accommodate disabled children in mainstream schools wherever possible. BLV design will comply with the Equality Act 2010. | | 2017 | 717 | 02 | 520 | 201 |

Author: Graham Symonds

| | | | Detailed planning is undertaken to accommodate future increased numbers on children with special needs and resourced provision at BLV should be seen in this context. The Learning Village will be available to all sections of the community. | Percentage of Pupils with Statement of SEN in Mainstream Schools in Bracknell Forest 3 2.8 2.6 2.4 2.1 3 1.8 1.4 1.2 1 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 W Statemented Primary Pupils % Statemented Secondary Pupils |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 9. Racial equality | Υ | И | Providers Not applicable Type of school BLV will be open to children of all races. School policies and practice will ensure equality. The Learning Village will be available to all sections of the community. | The proportion of minority ethnic pupils has risen steadily in the last 10 years, from 9.9% in 2004 to 18.7% in 2014. Full details are available at: http://boris.bracknell-forest.gov.uk/ethnicity-january-2014.pdf |
| 10. Gender equality | Y | N | The need is to construct additional places in mixed schools that cater for boys and girls. Providers Organisations currently providing single sex education would be welcome to put their names forward, but on the understanding that the requirement is for a mixed school. Type of school BLV will be open to children of both genders, with appropriate facilities where necessary. School policies and practice will ensure equality and respect for example in terms of changing for PE. The Learning Village will be available to all sections of the community. | School rolls approximately comprise the same proportion of boys and girls (50%) |

| 11. Sexual orientation equality | ¥ | N | Providers Not applicable Type of school The Learning Village will be available to all sections of the community | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 12. Gender re-assignment | ¥ | N | Providers Not applicable Type of school The Learning Village will be available to all sections of the community | |
| 13. Age equality | ¥ | N | Additional places are required for both primary and secondary phases. Providers Organisations currently providing for a single phase of education would be welcome to put their names forward to provide places for the same or both phases of education. Pre-school places will be provided in appropriate numbers and locations. The Learning Village will be available to all sections of the community. | Full details are available in the 'School Places Plan 2014-2019' |

| 14. Religion and belief equality | Y | 14 | The need is to provide additional places to serve the needs of communities of all religions, no religion and all faiths. | Total Pupils in Bra By Rel (as a | Schools | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|--|--|---------|--------|--|
| | | | Providers | | Number | % | |
| | | | | Baptist | 2 | 0.0% | |
| | | | Organisations currently providing faith- | Methodist | 11 | 0.1% | |
| | | | based education would be welcome to | Jewish | 21 | 0.1% | |
| | | | put their names forward, but on the | Jehovah | 34 | 0.2% | |
| | | | understanding that the requirement is for the education of all children. | Sikh | 74 | 0.5% | |
| | | | | Anglican | 77 | 0.5% | |
| | | | Type of school | Buddhist | 109 | 0.7% | |
| | | | Diocesan schools or Academy Trusts | Muslim | 267 | 1.7% | |
| | | | would be welcome to provide additional | Refused | 287 | 1.8% | |
| | | | places. School policies and practice will | Other | 297 | 1.9% | |
| | | | ensure equality and respect. | Hindu | 328 | 2.1% | |
| | | | The Learning Village will be available to | Roman Catholic | 676 | 4.3% | |
| | | | all sections of the community. | No Religion | 4,738 | 30.4% | |
| | | | | Christian | 8,687 | 55.7% | |
| | | | | Total Pupils | 15608 | 100.0% | |
| | | | | Source: Brackne | | | |
| | | | | | system | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 15. Pregnancy and maternity equality | Υ | N | Providers | | | | |
| | | | Not applicable | | | | |
| | | | Type of school The Learning Village will be available to | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | all sections of the community | | | | |
| 16 Marriago and civil partnership associate | ¥ | N. | Providers | | | | |
| 16. Marriage and civil partnership equality | * | N | | | | | |
| | | | Not applicable | | | | |
| | | | Type of school | | | | |
| | | | The Learning Village will be available to | | | | |
| | | | all sections of the community | | | | |
| 17. Please give details of any other potential | | 1 | <u> </u> | l | | | |
| impacts on any other group (e.g. those on lower incomes/carers/ex-offenders) and on promoting good community relations. | Sch | ools w | vill be accessible to children from throughout | society. | | | |
| 18. If an adverse/negative impact has been identified can it be justified on grounds of | No. | | | | | | |
| promoting equality of opportunity for one group or for any other reason? | No negative impacts are identified. | | | | | | |

| 19. If there is any difference in the impact of the activity when considered for each of the equality groups listed in 8 – 14 above; how significant is the difference in terms of its nature and the number of people likely to be affected? | There are no significant differences. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 20. Could the impact constitute unlawful discrimination in relation to any of the Equality Duties? | ¥ | N | N Please explain for each equality group | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21. What further information or data is required to better understand the impact? Where and how can that information be obtained? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. On the basis of sections 7 – 17 above is a full impact assessment required? N Additional places are to be provided for all children from throughout the community. Spolicies will ensure equality and respect. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23. If a full impact assessment is not required; wha equality of opportunity through this activity or to ol | | | | | ential differential/adverse impact, to further promote he action plan in full, adding more rows as needed. | | | | | | | | |
| Action | | Times | cale | Person Responsible | Milestone/Success Criteria | | | | | | | | |
| The process to seek providers to be open and transpar | rent | May to September 2015 | | Graham Symonds | Processes seen to be open. | | | | | | | | |
| Promote the opportunity to potential providers and engageffectively with them | age | May to August 2015 | | Graham Symonds | Good quality responses are received. | | | | | | | | |
| 24. Which service, business or work plan will these actions be included in? | | | Programme Plans for implementation of BLV, overseen by the CMT. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25. Please list the current actions undertaken to ad equality or examples of good practice identified as the screening? | | Please | list | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26. Chief Officers signature. | | Signatu | nature: David Watkins Date: 14/4/15 | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix 2: Timeline

| INFIELD | LEARNING VILLAGE | | Jan-15 | Feb-15 | Mar-15 | Apr-15 | May-15 | Jun-15 | Jul-15 | Aug-15 | Sep-15 | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 | Apr-16 | May-16 | Jun-16 |
|---------|---|--------------------|----------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P = Like | ly press i | nterest / | commu | nications | need | | | | | | | | | | | To Sept'17 | |
| | and legal negotiations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Planning permission achieved | Feb-Mar 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | emy provider timetable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | Draft timetable, appointment materials, evaluation criteria | To 15 Apr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.1 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Papers | 16-Apr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| | Meeting | 21-Apr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.2 | | 00.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ┼ |
| | Papers | 22-Apr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 0.4.0 | Meeting | 29-Apr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 2.1.3 | | 30-Jun | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | |
| 2.1.4 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | |
| | PRG | 28-May | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | + |
| _ | Papers Masting | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ┼ |
| | Meeting | 02-Jun | + | - | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | + |
| _ | Exec Briefing | 02-Jun | + | - | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | + |
| - | Papers Meeting | 02-Jun 09-Jun | 1 | 1 | | | | P | - | | | - | | 1 | 1 | } | - | | | + |
| | Executive | 09-Jun | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | Papers | 16-Jun | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | Meeting | 23-Jun | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.5 | | June | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + |
| 2.2 | Develop the interest of possible, suitable providers | 08-May to 19-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 | Input of early school design comments | To 19-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + |
| 2.4 | Prepare Specification | 1-May to 10-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.1 | | 22-Jun | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + |
| 2.4.1 | 3 | ZZ-Juli | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.2 | Papers | 02-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + |
| | Meeting | 08-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + |
| 2.4.3 | | 00-3ui | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.0 | Papers | 16-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | Meeting | 22-Jul | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | † |
| 2.5 | Seek academy sponsor | 3-Aug to 30-Oct | | | | | | | | Р | | | | | | | | | | † |
| | Notify DfE and send specification | Aug | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | † |
| 2.5.2 | | Aug to end-Oct '15 | | | | | | | | Р | | | | | | | | | | — |
| 2.6 | Scoring of proposals & meetings of Education Review G | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 2.6.1 | | Nov-2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 2.6.2 | | Nov-2015 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | † |
| 2.6.3 | | 20.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | † |
| | Papers | 05-Nov | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 |
| | Meeting | 10-Nov | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.6.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Papers | 11-Nov | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Meeting | 18-Nov | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.6.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PRG | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Papers | 19-Nov | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Meeting | 24-Nov | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Exec Briefing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Papers | 25-Nov | | | | | | | | | | | Р | | | | | | | |
| | Meeting | 01-Dec | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Executive | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Papers | 08-Dec | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Meeting | 15-Dec | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | 00 | Otilot | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | 2.7 | Send DfE assessment of proposals | 15-Jan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.8 | Await decision of DfE: Sponsor Confirmed | To mid/end-Mar 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | Р | | |
| | 2.9 | BFC to notify unsuccesssful proposers | Apr-16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.10 | Proposer works with DfE and Council to develop school | Apr 2016 to Sep 2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.11 | Scenario funding for school operaional funding | Jun - Sept 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.12 | DfE signs funding agreement with proposer | When school ready to open' | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Cons | truction of the School Buildings | Starts Mar-Apr '16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | New School Opens | | Sep-17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ΝB | Statu | tory Consultation on Admissions Arrangements (Not part of | of appointment proces | s) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 5.1 | Pre-consult with Wokingham re 'triangle' | May - July | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Identify options, agree process and initial briefings | Mar - July | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6.1 | Executive approve Scope & Content | July | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 | Engage with existing heads, governors and ward cllrs | June - July | | | | | | Р | | | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 | Consultation Period (min. 6 weeks) | Min. 6 weeks in Mid Nov | r - End J | Jan (excl. | sch. hol | idays) | | | | Р | | | | | | |
| | 6.4 | DMT and through for Executive Approval | By 28 Feb | | | | | | | | | | | Р | | | |
| | 6.5 | Post Admissions Arrangements on Website | For Sep 2017 Academic | Year | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix 3: Appointment application evaluation criteria

A. Meeting demand for provision

20%

- 1. A commitment that the proposed education model would support an open admissions policy that allows local schools for local people.
- 2. Meeting the needs of the local diverse community.

B. The proposed ethos of the school

25%

- 3. Vision, pedagogy, ethos and capability to promote high standards, innovate and drive system change.
- 4. A track record of collaborative and partnership working with the local council and a commitment to work with Bracknell Forest Council in order to maintain an appropriate focus on Borough wide priorities.
- 5. Work collaboratively in our local partnership of schools that may or may not be academies.

C. Ability to achieve high standards of education

30%

- A proven track record of high standards and school improvement.
- 7. Appropriate staffing arrangements to ensure high quality teaching and learning from qualified staff.
- D. A clear commitment and strategy for ensuring inclusion of all

10%

- 8. Inclusive practice and fair access to the school for all pupils as governed by the Admission Code of Practice and the Authority's Fair Access Protocols.
- Community access and use of facilities through agreed extended opening and lettings policy which encourages community use and sets costs are comparative with other local schools
- 10. A commitment to the Bracknell Forest Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB), the application of tried and tested methods to keep pupils safe and assure high standards of pupil inclusion supporting children of all abilities and needs, behaviour, discipline and welfare.

E. The organisation's capacity to deliver a new school

10%

- 11. Management structure and approach to relationships with schools: governance, delegations, accountability and allocations of responsibilities.
- 12. Maintaining an open dialogue with the Council regarding the school's performance and alert the local authority of any issues causing concern in order that they may be addressed.
- Securing best VFM, maintaining financial viability and a willingness to purchase services from the Council.

F. The organisation's track record in delivering education in the relevant phase

5%

- 14. Size and rate of growth of provider; local infrastructure to support proposal.
- 15. Capacity to take on new projects; experience of managing change.
- 16. Type and size of existing academies.